

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN (via Charles Holliday, Attorney):

I am exceedingly offended at Judge Bryant's conclusions, and that, because the God of Heaven is frowning on the scene. Let me explain as briefly as possible.

Truth is clear-cut and transparent. There is no deception or guile in the mouths of those who worship YAHWEH in spirit and in truth. There are several points that I wish to delineate regarding this matter concerning Lucan Chartier and myself.

1) Our church is NOT a "cult" where one or more charismatic leaders dictate what the other members can or must do. We are the Body of Christ; consequently, we are all equals in the family of God. Christ, the Head, delivers divine mandates and inspiration, and we obey individually and corporately, taking responsibility *both* individually and corporately. Each member reviews the sacred writings to verify the authenticity of their individual decisions.

2) Lucan Chartier is a member in good standing of the Creation Seventh Day Adventist Church. He possesses his own intelligence, not to be confused with a level of secular education or youthful age. He makes his own choices based on divine inspiration given to him by the Holy Spirit of YAHWEH. He must face the consequences of his own actions without any regard to what other human beings might say or judge. He is free to obey or disobey the orders of civil authorities. He can choose not to restore church signs or maintain websites, just as he can opt to maintain the same. He can observe the permanent injunction or choose not to. This is entirely left to his personal judgment and liberty of conscience.

As an aside, I am actually a bit surprised that all the attention is now centered on the restored church signs, as if that is the *primary* violation of the injunction, while international websites continue to function and flourish. Brother Chartier is a key player in the website ministry that will probably continue to be maintained, even if he is unavailable to do so. It seemed at the May 25th contempt hearing that the General Conference attorney was concerned about the offending websites as much as the restored church signs.

3) I did NOT leave the United States to avoid sanctions or any other "legal trouble." In fact, the partial summary judgment was handed down AFTER my departure from the country. The mediation conference was ordered AFTER the Judge's decision. I had *nothing* to mediate or compromise, and it made no sense to spend hard-earned resources needlessly while returning to the US for a "forced mediation" that would have wasted both time and resources for all parties involved.

Contrary to some individuals' thoughts, I have not remained in Africa to avoid sanctions or legal trouble. As a matter of fact, I have endured more hardship in Africa than being jailed in America. As I write this, there is a serious threat on my life, and I have been warned by more than one credible source to take great care where I travel and when I do so. Mysteriously, the US Embassy will not give me an audience or answer my emails. Truly, my safety might be more guaranteed in the US, but I must not regard my own personal safety in lieu of obedience to my Father in Heaven. When He directs me to change my program, I will do so according to His explicit instructions.

The problem facing the Court presently is that CSDA members strictly adhere to the orders of a "Higher Court," and that One is not respected or honored in the earthly court system. The current battle and controversy is not so much about me as it is about YAHWEH, the Almighty, and America's departure from righteous principle and its own constitution.

4) Judge Bryant has recommended sanctions for both Lucan and myself in his latest **REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE, AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, AND ORDER HOLDING IN ABEYANCE MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL** (June 24, 2010). He concluded, "The Court recommends that both Mr. McGill and Mr. Chartier should be sanctioned appropriately." Why is the Court not willing to order the recommended sanctions? What function, then, does the "order of reference" serve? This seems to be rather "plastic" in my estimation. It tends to demonstrate lack of resolve on the part of the judicial system to climb out of their "box." Why does the Judge "blame" *me* for having the Court boxed up? Are the civil authorities afraid of the "court of public opinion?" Do they fear that the publicity of locking up people in

America for practicing their religion will not be palatable? As CSDAs we say to those honorable officials, “Do what you must do to fulfill your position in government.” We fear not the consequences of our faith.

Do the judges sincerely consider that incarcerating *me* will end this saga? Are they so naïve to think Lucan will cease violating the injunction if I am locked up? Do they believe we only have *three* members, and they wish to seduce me back to America so they can confine two of the three? If and when Lucan and I are detained, there are others who can, and probably will, take up where we left off. You might want to explain to the Court that we only had three members *attending* the Guys location. However, there are several scattered members (and other sympathizers who are not members) who can step in whenever necessary. We are committed to practice our faith. Jailing and sanctioning will not necessarily stop the furthering of our work. Perhaps the legal system will be required to incarcerate every member of the CSDA before our religious practice is terminated in the US. Of course, we shall observe our faith anywhere we are—even in prison if necessary.

It is my belief that a flawed psychology has determined that I am the *only* “guilty party” in this trademark lawsuit. It appears the same flawed reasoning suggests that putting me away will solve both the court’s dilemma of being “in a box” and satisfy the General Conference demands for sanctions and enforcement of the permanent injunction. These misconceptions must be proven to be what they are—delusional deceptions.

5) I do not know how many times I have said this. I obey the voice of YAHWEH, the Creator of the universe. I do not expect many people to understand what I mean by this statement. It is as if no one actually believes in the God of Heaven anymore. It seems that even those who *profess to believe* in God put Him aside, trying to resolve earthly issues without any regard for what the “Master of men” has to say. This offends me more than anything.

One example of this is taken from the May 25th contempt hearing where Judge Bryant asked Lucan to set aside “the name” for one question about religious practice hindrance. That was entirely out of order in God’s eyes, since “the name” bears the weight of conflict in our practice of faith with respect to this lawsuit and the resulting legal actions. The Court and the General Conference are “married” one to another in their efforts to root out the CSDA in America.

In conclusion, I must respectfully disagree with the line of reasoning developed by the District Court and the Magistrate Judge Bryant. I refuse to terminate my gospel work of relieving the diseased and suffering here to satisfy groundless conclusions of a judicial system that defies the God of Heaven and requires me to disobey the One who is “the way, the truth, and the life.” I cannot sacrifice my eternal life and intimate relationship with God to appease any earthly tribunal. Let it be known to all everywhere—I am a Christian, and I will not bow to Caesar as an alternative. Furthermore, I respect my brother, Lucan Chartier, too much to cooperate with those who wish to paint him as a youthful, deluded, mentally oppressed and controlled cult member. Let Brother Chartier act and speak his faith as he chooses.

I do not type these words with any blatant disrespect for the various judiciary offices in the United States. I do not even disrespect those individuals who must carry out what they think to be right and just. In fact, I pray that those erring individuals will find salvation in Christ prior to their day of judgment at the Heavenly Bar. Simply stated, I am not obliged to cooperate with deception and falsehood or human mind control. I respectfully continue to obey my Master, YAHWEH, and His Son, YAHSUA.

I have regretted that you, Charles Holliday, must continue dealing with this most uncomfortable legal matter. It seems, however, that God ordained your continuation for some good reason which I do not yet understand. I would prefer that this email be delivered to the Court officials just as I have written it, but you may be unwilling. I leave that decision to your personal discretion, as you may be in a terribly sensitive position with the Court presently, and you are not “on the payroll.” I cannot ethically require anything from you.

Thank you for your kind and professional manner, as I remain

Yours, true as steel to principle,
Walter McGill (aka Pastor “Chick”)

(Sent November 4, 2010)